

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/862,689	BEUTLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Jamal	2643	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Alexander Jamal.

(3) _____.

 (2) Christopher J. Rourk, Esq (39348).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 July 2005
Time: 3:00pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Independent claims 1,8,19,29, 32-35


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner asked Mr. Rourk to move dependant claims 32-35 into their respective independent claims to read over the known prior art. Additionally examiner agreed to cancel claim 1 line 15 (starting with 'wherein') to line 18 as it added no material weight or limitation to the claim. Mr. Rourk agreed with the changes and an examiner made the changes with an examiner's amendment.